

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

FLEMMING KRISTENSEN,

Case No. 2:12-cv-00528-APG-PAL

Plaintiff,

V.

ORDER

CREDIT PAYMENT SERVICES, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

(Mtn to Seal – Dkt. #260)

12 | P a g e

13 This matter is before the court on Defendant LeadPile LLC's Motion for Leave to File
14 Documents Under Seal (Dkt. #260) filed November 14, 2014. The court has considered the
15 Motion.

16 Defendant LeadPile seeks an order pursuant to Local Rule of Civil Practice 10-5(b), to
17 file Exhibit A to the Supplemental Declaration of K. Chris Collins (Dkt. #259) to its Motion for
18 Discovery Sanctions (Dkt. #241) under seal. Exhibit A contains excerpted portions of the
19 deposition transcript of Plaintiff Flemming Kristensen, taken January 21, 2014, which was
20 designated confidential pursuant to the Protective Orders (Dkt. ##32, 145) entered by the court to
21 facilitate discovery exchanges in this case. LeadPile asserts that compelling reasons exist to file
22 these transcript pages under seal to “avoid disclosure of confidential or proprietary business
23 information or private, personal information” and because these pages were designated
24 confidential under the Protective Orders.

25 As the court has explained in several previous Orders, LeadPile's reliance on the
26 Protective Orders is misplaced. *See, e.g.*, Orders (Dkt. ##217, 229, 255). The Protective Orders
27 provide that their purpose is to facilitate discovery exchanges. *See* Protective Order (Dkt. #32) at
28 ¶ 1; Amended Protective Order (Dkt. #145) at ¶ 1. In entering the Protective Orders, the parties

1 did not show, and the court did not find, that any specific documents were secret or confidential.
2 Furthermore, LeadPile's conclusory assertion that the Exhibit contains "confidential business
3 information and/or personal information" is insufficient to meet its burden of making a
4 particularized showing of good cause for each excerpted page it seeks to file under seal.
5 LeadPile must make the particularized showing for each document it seeks to seal by showing
6 that "specific prejudice or harm will result." *See, e.g., San Jose Mercury News, Inc. v. U.S. Dist.*
7 *Ct.*, 187 F.3d 1096, 1103 (9th Cir. 1999). "Broad allegations of harm, unsubstantiated by
8 specific examples or articulated reasoning do not satisfy the Rule 26(c) test." *Foltz v. State Farm*
9 *Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1130 (9th Cir. 2003) (citing *Beckman Ind., Inc. v. Internat'l*
10 *Ins. Co.*, 966 F.2d 470, 476 (9th Cir. 1992)). LeadPile has not asserted or shown specific harm
11 or prejudice that will result from disclosure of any particular document it seeks to seal.

12 The court appreciates that the Motion to Seal was filed to comply with LeadPile's
13 obligation to treat documents designated by other parties as confidential, but a statement that
14 other parties have designated these materials as confidential does not establish good cause for
15 sealing the documents attached to a non-dispositive filing as required by the Ninth Circuit in
16 *Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006).

17 Accordingly,

18 **IT IS ORDERED:**

- 19 1. Defendant LeadPile's Motion to Seal (Dkt. #260) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.
- 20 2. The party/parties who designated the excerpted pages attached as Exhibit A to the
21 Supplemental Declaration of K. Chris Collins (Dkt. #259) shall have until **December 2,**
22 **2014**, to file a memorandum of points and authorities setting forth good cause why these
23 pages should remain under seal.
- 24 3. Exhibit A to the Supplemental Declaration of K. Chris Collins (Dkt. #260-1) shall remain
25 under seal until December 2, 2014. If the designating party fails to timely comply with

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

this Order, the Clerk of Court is directed to unseal Exhibit A (Dkt. #260-1) to make it available on the public docket.

Dated this 18th day of November, 2014.

Peggy A. Teer
PEGGY A. TEER
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE